### I. The Number of Agricultural, Forestry, Fishery, and Animal Husbandry Enterprises:

# 1. The number of census enterprises of agriculture, forestry, fishery, and animal husbandry increased

By the end of 2010, the number of census enterprises of agriculture, forestry, fishery, and animal husbandry amounted to 843,745 enterprises, increasing by 19,519 enterprises or 2.4% than last census ( the end of 2005 ) . Among which, the number of agriculture( including farm households and farms )increased by 1.2% due to increase of open transaction of agricultural land and household division by inheritance.

TTu: to antonnaise

			Un	it: enterprise
			Comparison	in 5 years
	End of 2010	End of 2005	Increased/ decreased number	Increased/ decreased rate (%)
Census enterprises of agriculture, forestry,	942 745	824.226	10 510	2.27
fishery, and animal husbandry	843 745	824 226	19 519	2.37
Agriculture	781 518	772 354	9 164	1.19
Farm households	780 388	771 579	8 809	1.14
Farms	1 130	775	355	45.81
Agricultural service	4 657	4 682	-25	-0.53
Forestry	83 312	68 398	14 914	21.80
Fishery	48 634	49 078	-444	-0.90
Sole proprietorship fishery households	47 130	46 653	477	1.02
Non-sole proprietorship fishery households	1 504	2 425	-921	-37.98
The number of part-time enterprises in each industry	74 376	70 286	4 090	5.82
Farm households/national total households (%)	9.83	10.58	-0.75 (perc	entage points)
Sole proprietorship fishery households/ national total households (%)	0.59	0.64	-0.05 (perc	entage points)

### Table 1Change Status of Number of Census Enterprises of Agriculture, Forestry,<br/>Fishery, and animal Husbandry

Note : 1. If there was any enterprise which operated more than two kinds of industry at the same time, then the enterprise was separately calculated into each industry which it operated, so that the accumulated number of all industries was larger than the number of census enterprises of agriculture, forestry, fishery, and animal husbandry; the following tables are in the same way.

2. National total households refer to the total households which registered in Ministry of the Interior; the number of farm households and the number of sole proprietorship fishery households refer to the number of common living households.

### 2. The number of enterprises which engaged in agriculture and fishery decreased continuously

By the end of 2010, the number of enterprises which engaged in agriculture amounted to 721,446 enterprises, decreasing by 7,941 enterprises or 1.1% than the end of 2005; the number of enterprises which engaged in fishery was 43,194 enterprises, decreasing by 2,196 enterprises or 4.8%.

Table 2	<b>Change of Number</b>	of Agriculture and	<b>Fishery Enterprises</b>

Unit: enterprise

								Unit.	enterprise
	T	End of 2010			End of 20	05	Increased/decreased rate		
	1	2010	)	1		05	compared in 5 years (%)		
		Engaged	Non-		Engaged	Non-		Engaged	Non-
	Total	in	engaged in	Total	in	engaged in	Total	in	engaged in
	1000	agriculture	agriculture	1000	agriculture	agriculture	10141		agriculture
		& fishery	& fishery		& fishery	& fishery		& fishery	& fishery
Agriculture (Number	781 518	721 446	60 072	772 354	729 387	42 967	1.19	-1.09	39.81
Agriculture { Percent(%)	100.00	92.31	7.69	100.00	94.44	5.56			
Farm households	780 388	720 344	60 044	771 579	728 627	42 952	1.14	-1.14	39.79
Farms	1 1 3 0	1 102	28	775	760	15	45.81	45.00	86.67
Fichowy (Number	48 634	43 194	5 440	49 078	45 390	3 688	-0.90	-4.84	47.51
Fishery { Percent(%)	100.00	88.81	11.19	100.00	92.49	7.51			
Sole proprietorship fishery households	47 130	41 749	5 381	46 653	43 074	3 579	1.02	-3.08	50.35
Non-sole proprietorship fishery households	1 504	1 445	59	2 425	2 316	109	-37.98	-37.61	-45.87

Note : 1. Non-engaged in agriculture and fishery refers to the enterprises which owned agriculture and fishery resources or equipments, but did not engage in any agriculture and fishery productions, nor provide any agriculture and fishery recreation activities; the following tables are in the same way.

2. The definition of the enterprises which engaged in agriculture and fishery at the end of 2005 is the same as the enterprises which operated agriculture and fishery shown in 2005 Census Report; the following tables are in the same way.

### **3.** Part-time agriculture and fishery households were still the majority, but full-time agriculture and fishery households increased

By the end of 2010, among the farm households which engaged in agriculture, full-time households accounted for 24.5%, increasing by 11.1% or 2.7 percentage points in five years, and part-time households accounted for 75.5%; among the sole proprietorship fishery households which engaged in fishery, full-time households accounted for 27.5%, increasing by 11.8% or 3.7 percentage points, and part-time households accounted for 72.5%.

	End of	2010	End of	f 2005	In 5 years		
	Number (household)	Structure proportion (%)	Number (household)	Structure proportion (%)	Increased/ decreased number (household)	Increased/ decreased comparison (percentage point )	
Total	720 344	100.00	728 627	100.00	-8 283		
Full-time farm households	176 755	24.54	159 070	21.83	17 685	2.71	
Aged farm households	89 323	12.40	76 040	10.44	13 283	1.96	
Non-aged farm households	87 432	12.14	83 030	11.39	4 402	0.74	
Part-time farm households	543 589	75.46	569 557	78.17	-25 968	-2.71	
Agriculture as main occupation	46 412	6.44	49 381	6.78	-2 969	-0.34	
Aged farm households	5 172	0.72	3 092	0.42	2 080	0.29	
Non-aged farm households	41 240	5.73	46 289	6.35	-5 049	-0.63	
Non-agriculture as main occupation	497 177	69.02	520 176	71.39	-22 999	-2.37	

Table 3         Farm Households Which Engaged in Agriculture, by Full-Time and Part-T
---

Note : 1. Aged farm households refer to the population of 15 years old and over in the household were all with the age of 65 years old and over; the following tables are in the same way.

2. Non-aged farm households refer that there is at least one person under 65 years old in the household population of 15 years old and over; the following tables are in the same way.

3. Part-time farm households with agriculture as main occupation refer that the income sources of the household is mainly from the income of agriculture; the following tables are in the same way.

	by Full-Time and Falt-Time									
	End of	f 2010	End of	f 2005	In 5 y	years				
	Number (household)	Structure proportion (%)	Number (household)	Structure proportion (%)	Increased/ decreased number (household)	Increased/ decreased comparison (percentage point )				
Total	41 749	100.00	43 074	100.00	-1 325					
Full-time fishery households	11 477	27.49	10 269	23.84	1 208	3.65				
Aged fishery households	2 891	6.92	2 404	5.58	487	1.34				
Non-aged fishery households	8 586	20.57	7 865	18.26	721	2.31				
Part-time fishery households	30 272	72.51	32 805	76.16	-2 533	-3.65				
Fishery as main occupation	11 512	27.57	10 663	24.76	849	2.81				
Non-fishery as main occupation	18 760	44.94	22 142	51.40	-3 382	-6.46				

Table 4Sole Proprietorship Fishery Households Which Engaged in Fishery,<br/>by Full-Time and Part-Time

Note : 1. Aged fishery households refers to the population with 15 years old and over in the household were all 65 years old and over.

2. Non-aged fishery households refers that there is at least one person under 65 years old in the household population with 15 years old and over.

### **II.** Agricultural, Forestry, Fishery, and Animal Husbandry Resource Distribution and Allocation

### 1. Agricultural cultivated land area decreased continuously; non-self-owned/used area increased

By the end of 2010, agricultural cultivated land area amounted to 579,196 ha., less 18,242 ha. or 3.1% than the end of 2005. Among which, non-self-owned/used area of the enterprises engaging in agriculture was 107,947 ha., increasing by 17,844 ha. or 19.8%.

by Ownership and Type of Organization									
	Tot	tal	Self own	ned/used	Non-self o	wned/used			
	Area	Percent	Area	Percent	Area	Percent			
	(ha.)	(%)	(ha.)	(%)	(ha.)	(%)			
End of 2010	553 333	100.00	445 386	80.49	107 947	19.51			
Farm households	525 052	100.00	424 916	80.93	100 136	19.07			
Full-time farm households	154 970	100.00	118 010	76.15	36 960	23.85			
Part-time farm households	370 082	100.00	306 906	82.93	63 176	17.07			
Agriculture as main occupation	71 154	100.00	44 481	62.51	26 673	37.49			
Non-agriculture as main occupation	298 928	100.00	262 425	87.79	36 503	12.21			
Farms	28 281	100.00	20 470	72.38	7 811	27.62			
Public farms	19 480	100.00	19 013	97.60	467	2.40			
Private farms	8 801	100.00	1 457	16.55	7 344	83.45			
End of 2005	579 995	100.00	489 892	84.46	90 103	15.54			
Farm households	536 939	100.00	448 185	83.47	88 754	16.53			
Full-time farm households	137 659	100.00	108 692	78.96	28 967	21.04			
Part-time farm households	399 280	100.00	339 493	85.03	59 787	14.97			
Agriculture as main occupation	72 507	100.00	50 001	68.96	22 506	31.04			
Non-agriculture as main occupation	326 773	100.00	289 492	88.59	37 281	11.41			
Farms	43 057	100.00	41 707	96.86	1 350	3.14			
Public farms	39 285	100.00	38 466	97.92	819	2.08			
Private farms	3 772	100.00	3 241	85.92	531	14.08			

Table 5Cultivated Land Area of Enterprises Which Engaged in Agriculture,<br/>by Ownership and Type of Organization

#### 2. Cultivated land located and managers resided in different counties/cities

By the end of 2010, the area of cultivated land locating in the counties/cities where managers did not reside amounted to 28,478 ha. or accounted for 4.9% of total cultivated land area, among which 7,730 ha. in Pingtung County stood the most, and 2,957 ha. in Chiayi County stood the second.

### Table 6Agricultural Cultivated Land Located and Managers Resided in Different<br/>Counties/Cities, by County/City Where Cultivated Land Located

			End	of 2010					
				Engag	ed in agric	culture	Non-engaged in agriculture		
	Total	Managers			Managers		Managers of other		
	cultivated	count		Cultivated			Cultivated		
	land	Owned area in this	% of total area in this	land area	Owned area in this	% of total area in this	land area	Owned area in this	% of total area in this
	area	county/city		aica	county/city		aica	county/city	
	(ha.)	(ha.)	(%)	(ha.)	(ha.)	(%)	(ha.)	(ha.)	(%)
Total	579 196	28 478	4.92	553 333	24 640	4.25	25 863	3 838	0.66
Taiwan Area	576 569	28 429	4.93	551 502	24 635	4.27	25 067	3 794	0.66
Northern Region	79 769	4 825	6.05	72 118	3 475	4.36	7 651	1 350	1.69
New Taipei City	12 988	1 329	10.23	11 215	798	6.14	1 773	531	4.09
Taipei City	1 929	39	2.02	1 773	36	1.87	156	3	0.16
Keelung City	434	46	10.60	405	31	7.14	29	15	3.46
Hsinchu City	1 714	108	6.30	1 534	88	5.13	180	20	1.17
Yilan County	18 041	438	2.43	17 101	333	1.85	940	105	0.58
Toayun County	26 721	1 0 3 2	3.86	24 592	804	3.01	2 1 2 9	228	0.85
Hsinchu County	17 942	1 833	10.22	15 498	1 385	7.72	2 444	448	2.50
Central Region	219 597	7 390	3.37	213 188	6 284	2.86	6 409	1 106	0.50
Taichung City	41 444	862	2.08	40 277	776	1.87	1 167	86	0.21
Miaoli County	22 259	1 474	6.62	20 481	951	4.27	1 778	523	2.35
Changhwa County	51 780	975	1.88	51 044	855	1.65	736	120	0.23
Nantou County	39 057	2 378	6.09	37 124	2 135	5.47	1 933	243	0.62
Yunlin County	65 057	1 701	2.61	64 262	1 567	2.41	795	134	0.21
Southern Region	228 081	14 241	6.24	220 643	13 337	5.85	7 438	904	0.40
Tainan City	72 061	2 4 4 5	3.39	70 214	2 198	3.05	1 847	247	0.34
Kaohsiung City	36 225	796	2.20	34 056	685	1.89	2 169	111	0.31
Chiayi City	1 622	98	6.04	1 589	96	5.92	33	2	0.12
Chiayi County	63 551	2 957	4.65	62 803	2 747	4.32	748	210	0.33
Pingtung County	53 116	7 730	14.55	51 185	7 547	14.21	1 931	183	0.34
Penghu County	1 506	215	14.28	796	64	4.25	710	151	10.03
Eastern Region	49 122	1 973	4.02	45 553	1 539	3.13	3 569	434	0.88
Taitung County	23 776	1 027	4.32	21 936	793	3.34	1 840	234	
Hualien County	25 346		3.73	23 617	746		1 729	200	0.79
Kinma Area	2 627		1.87	1 831	5	0.19	796	44	1.67
Kinmen County	2 622		1.87	1 826	5	0.19	796	44	1.68
Lienkiang County	5	_	_	5	_	—	0	_	_

# **3.** The average scale of cultivated land per enterprise decreased continuously; for the farmers whose cultivated land were not all self-owned, the scale of their cultivated land increased

By the end of 2010, for the enterprises which engaged in agriculture and had cultivated land, the average cultivated land area per enterprise was 0.77 ha., decreasing

by 0.03 ha. in 5 years, among which 570,517 enterprises, or accounting for 79.7%, had cultivated land under 1 ha.. In addition, for the farmers whose cultivated land were not all self-owned, the average cultivated land area per enterprise was 1.13 ha., increasing by 0.13 ha..

	Er	nd of 2010		E	End of 2005		Increased/
	Enterprises with cultivated land	Total cultivated land area	Average cultivated land area per enterprise	Enterprises with cultivated land	Total cultivated land area	Average cultivated land area per enterprise	decreased number by comparison of average cultivated land area per enterprise
	(Enterprise)	(ha.)	(ha.)	(Enterprises)	(ha.)	(ha.)	(ha.)
Total	715 500	553 333	0.77	725 091	579 995	0.80	-0.03
Farm households	714 893	525 052	0.73	724 602	536 939	0.74	-0.01
Farms	607	28 281	46.59	489	43 057	88.05	-41.46
Public farms	203	19 480	95.96	198	39 285	198.41	-102.45
Private farms	404	8 801	21.79	291	3 772	12.96	8.82

Table 7Cultivated Land Area of Enterprises Engaging in Agriculture,<br/>by Type of Organization

Note : Because hectare was the smallest unit of the area adopted in this table, there was slightly difference of totals after rounding.

Table 8	Number of Enter	prises Engaging i	Agriculture, by	y Scale of Cultivated Land

	End of 2010		End of	2005	Comparison in 5 years		The average
							cultivated
		Cture strong		Charles a francis	Increased/	Increased/	land area per
	Number	Structure proportion	Number	Structure proportion	decreased	decreased	enterprise at
		proportion		proportion	number	rate	the end of
							2010
	(enterprise)	(%)	(enterprise)	(%)	(enterprise)	(%)	(ha.)
Total	721 446		729 387		-7 941	-1.09	0.77
With cultivated land	715 500	100.00	725 091	100.00	-9 591	-1.32	0.77
Under 0.3 ha.	202 644	28.32	216 980	29.93	-14 336	-6.61	0.20
0.3 ha.~ under 1.0 ha.	367 873	51.42	347 712	47.95	20 161	5.80	0.54
1.0 ha.~ under 3.0 ha.	127 162	17.77	141 189	19.47	-14 027	-9.93	1.52
3.0 ha. & over	17 821	2.49	19 210	2.65	-13 89	-7.23	6.72
Without cultivated land	5 946		4 296		1 650	38.41	

### Table 9Number of Enterprises Engaging in Agriculture,<br/>by Ownership of Cultivated Land

		End of 201	0	End of 2005			
	Number	Cultivated land area	The average cultivated land area per enterprise	Number	Cultivated land area	The average cultivated land area per enterprise	
	(enterprise)	(ha.)	(ha.)	(enterprise)	(ha.)	(ha.)	
Total	721 446			729 387			
With cultivated land	715 500	553 333	0.77	725 091	579 995	0.80	
Cultivated land all self-owned	619 944	445 386	0.72	634 620	489 892	0.77	
Cultivated land not all self-owned	54 621	107 947	1.13	52 643	90 103	1.00	
Without cultivated land	5 946			4 296			

# 4. Fishing fishery equipments increased; aquaculture area of aquatic living things decreased

By the end of 2010, the number of powered fishing crafts for fishing fishery amounted to 9,549 vessels, among which 8,934 vessels were in operation, increasing by 613 vessels or 7.4% in 5 years, and the average tonnage per powered fishing craft was 56.7 tons, decreasing by 13.3 tons. Pond, shallow sea and other culture areas for aquatic living things culture were 44,082 ha., among which 41,093 ha. were in use or accounting for 93.2%, decreasing by 2,114 ha. or 4.9%.

				Unit: vessel
			Comparison	in 5 years
	End of 2010	End of 2005	Increased/	Increased/
	End of 2010	End of 2005	decreased	decreased
			number	rate (%)
Total	9 549	8 765	784	8.94
No. of powered fishing crafts of enterprises engaging in fishery	8 994	8 407	587	6.98
With operation	8 934	8 321	613	7.37
Average tonnage per vessel (ton)	56.69	70.00	-13.31	-19.01
Without operation throughout the year	60	86	-26	-30.23
No. of powered fishing crafts of enterprises not engaging in fishery	555	358	197	55.03

### Table 10 Overview of Powered Fishing Crafts Used in Fishing Fishery

				Comparison	in 5 years
		E 1 62010	E 1 62005	Increased/	Increased/
		End of 2010	End of 2005	decreased	decreased
				number	rate (%)
Pond, shallow sea and other culture areas	(ha.)	44 082	44 932	-850	-1.89
Enterprises engaging in fishery	(ha.)	41 375	43 347	-1 972	-4.55
With usage of pond, shallow sea and others	(ha.)	41 093	43 207	-2 114	-4.89
Without usage of pond, shallow sea and othe throughout the year	ers (ha.)	282	140	142	101.43
Enterprises not engaging in fishery	(ha.)	2 707	1 585	1 122	70.79
Cage culture	(m <sup>3</sup> )	393 421	850 967	-457 546	-53.77

### Table 11 Overview of Aquaculture Area of Aquatic Living Things

# 5. Use of seawater culture area increased; use of underground water decreased gradually

By the end of 2010, among the culture areas for aquatic living things (excluding cage), the area mainly used for seawater culture were 24,354 ha., or accounting for 58.9%, increasing by 8.4 percentage points in 5 years; the area mainly used for underground water culture were 10,677 ha. or accounting for 25.8%, decreasing by 10.6 percentage points.

# Table 12Aquaculture Area of Aquatic Living Thing for Enterprises Engaging in<br/>Fishery (Excluding Cage), by Main Water Sources and Type of Aquaculture

									Unit: %
			To	tal	Underground		River,	Other	
			Area	Dorcont	Underground	Sea water	Reservoir	water	Not in use
			(ha.)	reicent	Percent water		water	sources	
End of 2005{	Area	(ha.)	43 347		15 781	21 879	5 171	376	140
	Percent			100.00	36.41	50.47	11.93	11.93 0.87	
End of 2010{	Area	(ha.)	41 375		10 677	24 354	5 714	348	282
	Percen	t		100.00	25.81	58.86	13.81	0.84	0.68
Pond culture	e		36 956	100.00	28.87	54.76	15.44	0.93	_
Shallow sea	u culture	e	4 096	100.00	_	100.00	_	_	_
Other cultur	res		41	100.00	19.51	48.78	21.95	9.76	_
Suspend cul	lture		282	100.00	_	_	_	_	100.00

### 6. Forestry land area increased

By the end of 2010, forestry land area (including forest land area and affiliated forest land area) amounted to 1,852,555 ha., due to the influence of promoting the forestation reward policy, increasing by 8,978 ha. or 0.5% in comparison of the end of 2005.

	End c	of 2010	End of	f 2005	Comparison in 5 years		
	Area		Area	Structure	Increased/	Increased/	
	(ha.)	proportion	rtion	proportion	decreased	decreased	
	(IIa.)	(%)	(ha.)	(%)	number	rate (%)	
Total	1 852 555	100.00	1 843 577	100.00	8 978	0.49	
Taiwan Area	1 846 493	99.67	1 837 524	99.67	8 969	0.49	
Northern Region	461 673	24.92	466 816	25.32	-5 143	-1.10	
Central Region	417 741	22.55	408 515	22.16	9 226	2.26	
Southern Region	396 410	21.40	393 484	21.34	2 926	0.74	
Eastern Region	570 669	30.80	568 709	30.85	1 960	0.34	
Kinma Area	6 062	0.33	6 053	0.33	9	0.15	

Table 13Forestry Land Area, by Region

# 7. Protection forest and natural forest land areas increased; production land area decreased

By the end of 2010, the forest land area were mainly used for protection forest and natural forest land, and amounted to 1,351,827 ha., increasing by 98,743 ha. or 7.9% in 5 years; production land area was 335,505 ha., decreasing by 99,877 ha. or 22.9%.

								Unit: %
	Total		Protection	Natural	Forest	Product-	Non-	0.1
	Area (ha.)	Percent	forest	forest	recreation	ion	forested land	Others
End of 2005 (Area (ha.)			668 367	584 717	45 924	435 382	4 942	4 402
End of 2005 { Area (na.) Percent		100.00	38.33	33.53	2.63	24.98	0.28	0.25
End of 2010 { Area (ha.)	1 755 012		713 505	638 322	61 366	335 505	3 174	3 140
Percent		100.00	40.65	36.37	3.50	19.12	0.18	0.18
Taiwan Area	1 748 964	100.00	40.74	36.21	3.51	19.18	0.18	0.18
Northern Region	446 996	100.00	55.38	19.85	6.46	18.20	0.06	0.05
Central Region	388 659	100.00	32.51	36.48	3.94	26.34	0.64	0.09
Southern Region	371 127	100.00	49.68	27.61	2.20	20.03	0.08	0.40
Eastern Region	542 182	100.00	28.45	55.39	1.65	14.29	0.02	0.20
Kinma Area	6 048	100.00	16.55	83.04	0.41	_	_	_

Table 14Forest Land Area, by Purpose and Region

Note: For consistent comparison benchmark of the areas of two censuses by purpose, the area of reforestation on cropland in the end of 2005 was incorporated into the item of purpose for production.

### **III.** The Population of Farm and Fishery Households

#### 1. The number of population in farm and fishery households decreased

By the end of 2010, the number of population in farm households and fishery households of sole proprietorship were 2,975,523 persons and 175,456 persons respectively, accounting for 12.9% and 0.8% of national total population, decreasing by 2.1 and 0.1 percentage points respectively in 5 years.

		End of 2	010			End of 2	005		Increased/
	Household	Population	national	household		Population	national	Average size per household	decreased rate of pop. per household in 5 years
	(household)	(person)	(%)	(person)	(household)	(person)	(%)	(person)	(%)
Farm households & sole proprietorship fishery households	812 280	3 091 871	13.35	3.81	802 762	3 544 802	15.57	4.42	-12.78
Farm households	780 388	2 975 523	12.85	3.81	771 579	3 417 572	15.01	4.43	-12.93
Engaged in agriculture	720 344	2 776 301	11.99	3.85	728 627	3 259 545	14.31	4.47	-14.83
Non-engaged in agriculture	60 044	199 222	0.86	3.32	42 952	158 027	0.69	3.68	26.07
Sole proprietorship fishery households	47 130	175 456	0.76	3.72	46 653	193 993	0.85	4.16	-9.56
Engaged in fishery	41 749	157 215	0.68	3.77	43 074	179 853	0.79	4.18	-12.59
Non-engaged in fishery	5 381	18 241	0.08	3.39	3 579	14 140	0.06	3.95	29.00

Table 15Population of Farm and Fishery Households

Note : If a household engaged in agriculture and fishery at the same time, then the household was separately calculated into the two industries, so that their accumulated number was larger than the number of farm households and sole proprietorship fishery households.

#### 2. The population structure of farm and fishery households was mainly 1 to 3 persons

By the end of 2010, the number of population in farm households and sole proprietorship fishery household was both 1 to 3 persons as the most, accounting for 49.0% and 50.0% respectively, and increased by 9.4 and 7.9 percentage points in 5 years. Among which the number of farm households with the population of 1 person increased by 29,938 households or 44.3%.

	End of	2010	End of	2005	Comparison in 5 years		
	Number	Structure proportion	Number	Structure proportion	Increased/ decreased number	Increased/ decreased rate	
	(household)	(%)	(household)	(%)	(household)	(%)	
Farm households	780 388	100.00	771 579	100.00	8 809	1.14	
1~3 persons	382 222	48.98	305 137	39.55	77 085	25.26	
1 person	97 592	12.51	67 654	8.77	29 938	44.25	
2 persons	169 852	21.76	137 891	17.87	31 961	23.18	
3 persons	114 778	14.71	99 592	12.91	15 186	15.25	
4~6 persons	317 224	40.65	332 577	43.10	- 15 353	-4.62	
7 persons & over	80 942	10.37	133 865	17.35	- 52 923	-39.53	
Sole proprietorship fishery households	47 130	100.00	46 653	100.00	477	1.02	
1~3 persons	23 543	49.96	19 630	42.08	3 913	19.93	
1 person	5 150	10.93	3 525	7.56	1 625	46.10	
2 persons	11 051	23.45	9 466	20.29	1 585	16.74	
3 persons	7 342	15.58	6 639	14.23	703	10.59	
4~6 persons	19 499	41.37	21 088	45.20	- 1 589	-7.54	
7 persons & over	4 088	8.67	5 935	12.72	- 1 847	-31.12	

 Table 16
 Number of Farm and Fishery households, by Family Member

# 3. The population engaging in own farm and fishery work in farm and fishery households decreased, among which the number of population who worked over 90 days decreased by 10% and over

In 2010, among the population of 15 years old and over in the households which engaged in agriculture and fishery, the number of population who engaged in own farm work for 1 day and over was 1,392,626 persons, decreasing by 166,991 persons or 10.7% in 5 years, among which the number of population who engaged in own farm work for 90 days and over was 367,095 persons, decreasing by 73,206 persons or 16.6%; the number of population who engaged in own fishery work was 76,153 persons, decreasing by 3,268 persons or 4.1%, among which the number of population who engaged in own fishery work for 90 days and over was 36,944 persons, decreasing by 4,856 persons or 11.6%.

			v	·		0				Unit: %
	Tota	ıl								Average
	Number (person)	Percent	1 ~ 29 days	30 ~ 59 days	60~ 89 days	90~ 149 days	150~ 179 days	180~ 249 days	-	work days throughout the year per person (day)
End of (person)	1 392 626		559 171	279 205	187 155	125 196	88 301	69 304	84 294	76
2010 { Percent		100.00	40.15	20.05	13.44	8.99	6.34	4.98	6.05	
15~24 years old	41 622	100.00	74.97	14.01	4.83	1.96	1.57	1.10	1.56	33
25~44 years old	303 808	100.00	52.50	19.57	9.98	5.52	3.89	3.58	4.96	60
45~64 years old	613 962	100.00	33.76	21.35	14.85	9.95	7.07	5.79	7.25	84
65~69 years old	136 062	100.00	29.27	19.53	15.94	12.20	9.01	6.53	7.51	92
70 years old & over	297 172	100.00	40.85	18.94	14.13	10.07	6.79	4.56	4.67	73
End of 2005 { Number (person)	1 559 617		563 315	345 678	210 323	133 937	115 945	86 005	104 414	81
2005 Percent		100.00	36.12	22.16	13.49	8.59	7.43	5.52	6.69	
15~24 years old	53 626	100.00	75.23	14.10	4.67	1.69	1.57	1.44	1.30	33
25~44 years old	415 108	100.00	51.34	20.31	10.46	5.15	4.31	3.75	4.68	60
45~64 years old	641 630	100.00	28.15	22.88	15.28	9.73	8.68	6.71	8.57	93
65~69 years old	169 012	100.00	21.89	23.08	15.64	12.21	11.01	7.54	8.63	101
70 years old & over	280 241	100.00	32.92	24.26	14.23	10.21	8.17	4.96	5.25	79

# Table 17Population Engaging in Own Farm Work in Farm Households,<br/>by Work Days and Age

# Table 18Population Engaging in Own Fishery Work in Fishery Households,<br/>by Work Days and Age

			<i>by</i> 110	IK Day	5 and 1	<b>1</b> 50				TT
	Tat	-1								Unit: %
	Tota	al	1~	30 ~	60~	90~	150~	180~	Over	Average work days
	Number	Danaant	29	59	89	149	179	249	250	throughout
	(person)	Percent	days	days	days	days	days	days	•	the year per person (day)
End of (person)	76 153		17 229	12 071	9 909	10 159	9 619	8 841	8 325	116
2010 { Percent		100.00	22.63	15.85	13.01	13.34	12.63	11.61	10.93	
15~24 years old	2 393	100.00	61.43	16.67	5.98	4.51	4.35	4.09	2.97	52
25~44 years old	19 485	100.00	31.33	17.29	11.60	9.85	9.83	10.33	9.77	102
45~64 years old	36 388	100.00	16.14	15.28	13.83	14.79	14.18	13.26	12.52	128
65~69 years old	6 744	100.00	16.50	15.23	14.24	15.84	14.90	12.41	10.88	124
70 years old & over	11 143	100.00	23.93	15.42	13.58	15.10	12.88	9.59	9.50	110
End of 2005 { Number (person)	79 421		17 894	11 453	8 274	15 772	9 605	7 031	9 392	117
2005 Percent		100.00	22.53	14.42	10.42	19.86	12.09	8.85	11.83	
15~24 years old	2 519	100.00	62.96	13.85	5.28	6.59	4.37	2.9	4.05	53
25~44 years old	22 324	100.00	31.96	15.99	10.27	14.29	9.53	7.94	10.02	100
45~64 years old	36 872	100.00	15.64	13.84	11.18	21.73	13.71	10.14	13.76	130
65~69 years old	8 501	100.00	15.31	12.94	9.96	25.37	14.03	9.53	12.86	129
70 years old & over	9 205	100.00	22.87	14.47	9.54	24.40	12.16	6.90	9.66	111

### 4. The work status throughout the year of the population in farm and fishery households mainly engaged in the jobs other than farm and fishery work

By the end of 2010, among the population of 15 years old and over in the households which engaged in agriculture and fishery, the number of persons with a job both accounted for 68.9%, among which the number of persons engaging in non-farm and non-fishery work accounted for 44.3% and 36.7% respectively as the most.

by Regular Work and Age											
End of 2010											
	Tota	Total     With a job     Attending school or									
	Number (person)	Percent	Engaged in farm work	Engaged Engaged in in farm non-farm		preparing to take entrance exam		Others			
Total	2 403 865	100.00	24.63	44.30	12.51	8.62	9.27	0.67			
15~24 years old	296 387	100.00	1.27	28.48	1.01	67.13	0.24	1.87			
25~44 years old	757 426	100.00	8.85	80.72	7.76	1.09	0.56	1.02			
45~64 years old	778 082	100.00	34.74	44.00	19.49	0.00	1.41	0.36			
65~69 years old	159 699	100.00	52.47	9.69	21.06	_	16.78	_			
70 years old &	410 071	100.00	40.57	0.72	12.01		12 (0				

# Table 19Population of Farm Households, 15 Years Old and Over,<br/>by Regular Work and Age

# Table 20Population of Sole Proprietorship Fishery Households, 15 Years Old and Over,<br/>by Regular Work and Age

2.73

13.01

43.69

\_\_\_\_

40.57

	End of 2010										
	Tota	ıl	With	n a job		Attending school or	Sickness				
	Number (person)	Percent	Engaged in fishery work	Engaged in non-fishery work	House keeping	preparing to take entrance exam		Others			
Total	135 694	100.00	32.13	36.74	15.29	8.79	6.35	0.70			
15~24 years old	17 416	100.00	2.79	27.72	1.37	65.71	0.22	2.19			
25~44 years old	44 940	100.00	19.30	66.60	11.63	1.06	0.43	0.98			
45~64 years old	47 516	100.00	48.07	27.24	23.28	0.00	1.14	0.27			
65~69 years old	8 633	100.00	52.72	11.93	21.82	_	13.53	_			
70 years old & over	17 189	100.00	41.03	6.55	13.61	_	38.81	_			

### **IV. Agricultural Labor Force**

412 271

over

100.00

1. The number of agricultural, forestry, fishery, and animal husbandry employees amounted to 1,711 thousand persons, among which the number of workers without regular wages accounted for 86.0%

In 2010, the number of agricultural, forestry, fishery, and animal husbandry employees amounted to 1,711,175 persons (including regular employees and casual

employees by the end of 2010, and people who engaged in own farm and fishery work for 1 day and over throughout the year); the average number of employees per enterprise was 2.2 persons, among which the number of workers without regular wages accounted for 86.0%.

		End of 2	010			
		Total	Regular employees	Casual employees	Workers without regular wages	Average number of employees per household
Agricultural, forestry,	Number (person)	1 711 175	59 894	179 437	1 471 844	2.17
fishery, and animal husbandry	{ Percent (%)	100.00	3.50	10.49	86.01	
Agriculturo	Number (person)	1 564 278	22 292	147 774	1 394 212	2.17
Agriculture	<sup>1</sup> Percent (%)	100.00	1.42	9.45	89.13	
Farm households	Number (person)	1 548 417	11 419	144 372	1 392 626	2.15
rami nousenoius	<sup>1</sup> Percent (%)	100.00	0.74	9.32	89.94	
Farms	{ Number (person)	15 861	10 873	3 402	1 586	14.39
1 411115	<sup>1</sup> Percent (%)	100.00	68.55	21.45	10.00	
Agriculture service	{ Number (person)	14 829	1 783	4 699	8 347	3.18
Agriculture service	<sup>1</sup> Percent (%)	100.00	12.02	31.69	56.29	
Forestry	{Number (person)	65 326	3 873	11 863	49 590	0.78
Torestry	<sup>1</sup> Percent (%)	100.00	5.93	18.16	75.91	
Fishery	{Number (person)	124 240	31 946	15 101	77 193	2.88
1 Isliely	<sup>1</sup> Percent (%)	100.00	25.71	12.16	62.13	
Sole proprietorship	{ Number (person)	102 459	12 867	13 439	76 153	2.45
fishery households	<sup>1</sup> Percent (%)	100.00	12.56	13.12	74.32	
Non-sole	Number (person)	21 781	19 079	1 662	1 040	15.07
proprietorship fishery households	{ Percent (%)	100.00	87.59	7.63	4.78	

# Table 21Number of Agricultural, Forestry, Fishery,<br/>and Animal Husbandry Employees

Note : 1. The number of employees of agriculture and fishery included regular employees, casual employees, and workers without regular wages in farm household and sole proprietorship fishery household; the following tables are in the same way.

2. If a worker without regular wages in farm households and sole proprietorship fishery households engaged in 2 kinds of works of different industries at the same time, then the worker was separately calculated into each industry, so that the accumulated number of items in each industry was larger than total workers without regular wages in agricultural, forestry, fishery, and animal husbandry; the following tables are in the same way.

### 2. The managers who engaged in agriculture, forestry, fishery, and animal husbandry were increasingly aged; the willingness of succession of members in farm and fishery households declined.

By the end of 2010, the average age of managers who engaged in agriculture, forestry, fishery, and animal husbandry was 61.7 years old, increasing by 0.8 years old in comparison of the end of 2005, among which the numbers of mangers of 65 years old and over in farm households and sole proprietorship fishery households accounted for 44.0% and 30.7% respectively; the ratio of households with work successors accounted for 27.2% and 20.9% respectively, decreasing by 8.0 and 1.2 percentage points respectively in 5 years.

										Unit: %
	Tot	al	S	ex			Age			Average
	Number (person)	Percent	Male	Female	15∼24 years old	25~44 years old	45∼64 years old	65∼69 years old	70 years old & over	age ( years old )
End of 2005	784 829	100.00	83.30	16.70	0.08	10.10	47.22	15.05	27.55	60.85
End of 2010	789 398	100.00	81.10	18.90	0.11	8.43	48.67	12.49	30.30	61.67
Agriculture	721 446	100.00	81.05	18.95	0.09	7.83	48.15	12.76	31.17	62.03
Farm households	720 344	100.00	81.03	18.97	0.09	7.82	48.11	12.77	31.21	62.04
Farms	1 102	100.00	90.38	9.62	0.36	17.33	68.42	6.81	7.08	53.93
Agriculture service	4 657	100.00	97.77	2.23	0.09	14.41	61.50	12.90	11.10	56.61
Forestry	83 312	100.00	79.51	20.49	0.28	11.35	49.75	10.90	27.72	60.19
Fishery	43 194	100.00	88.52	11.48	0.13	13.72	56.00	11.12	19.03	57.76
Sole proprietorship fishery households	41 749	100.00	88.48	11.52	0.13	13.63	55.55	11.26	19.43	57.88
Non-sole proprietorship fishery households	1 445	100.00	89.69	10.31	0.07	16.40	68.93	6.99	7.61	54.14

# Table 22Mangers Engaging in Agriculture, forestry, Fishery,<br/>and Animal Husbandry, by Sex and Age

#### Table 23 Farm Households Engaging in Agriculture, by Number of Farm Successors

	Grand		With farm	successors		Without farm	
	Total	Total	1 person	2 persons	3 persons & over	successors	
End of 2005 { Number (household)	728 627	256 362	219 921	29 729	6 712	472 265	
Percent (%)	100.00	35.18	30.18	4.08	0.92	64.82	
End of 2010 { Number (household)	720 344	195 790	182 685	11 362	1 743	524 554	
End of 2010 { Percent (%)	100.00	27.18	25.36	1.58	0.24	72.82	

Note: Farm successors refers to the children or brothers and sisters of farm director among the population with 15 years old and over in that household, who are willing to continue own farm work in the future; however, if the relationship between he/she and the director is husband and wife, then the person will not be listed as the successor.

### Table 24 Sole Proprietorship Fishery Households Engaging in Fishery,

#### by Number of Fishery Successors

	Crand		With fisher	y successors		Without fishery successors	
	Grand Total	Total	1 person	2 persons	3 persons & over		
End of 2005 { Number (household) $P_{\text{Burnet}}(\theta)$	43 074	9 542	8 838	617	87	33 532	
Percent (%)	100.00	22.15	20.52	1.43	0.20	77.85	
End of 2010 { Number (household)	41 749	8 742	8 116	547	79	33 007	
Percent (%)	100.00	20.94	19.44	1.31	0.19	79.06	

Note : Fishery successors refers to the children or brothers and sisters of fishery director among the population with 15 years old and over in that household, who are willing to continue own fishery work in the future; however, if the relationship between he/she and the director is husband and wife, then the person will not be listed as the successor.

### **3.** The number of fisherman from Mainland China (including Hong Kong and Macao) decreased

By the end of 2010, among the source of employees who engaged in fishery, the number of native employees accounted for 46.3%, decreasing by 7.4 percentage points in 5 years; the employees from Mainland China (including Hong Kong and Macao) accounted for 15.3%, decreasing by 14.3 percentage points, and the employees from other regions (including Indonesia and Philippines, etc.) accounted for 38.4%, increasing by 21.7 percentage points.

Employment									
	То	tal	Se	ex		Sources	Unit: %		
	Number				Republic	Mainland China	Other		

Table 25	Number of Employees Engaging in Fishery, by Sex, Sources and Property of
	Employment

	10	u	Bea		Boulees		
						Mainland	
	Number	Percent	Male	Female	Republic	China	Other
	(person)	Fercent	Wate	1 cillate	of China	(including	areas
						HK, Macao)	
Number (person) End of 2005{	55 778		46 209	9 569	29 937	16 517	9 324
Percent		100.00	82.84	17.16	53.67	29.61	16.72
Number (person)	47 047		41 011	6 036	21 792	7 186	18 069
End of 2010{ Percent		100.00	87.17	12.83	46.32	15.27	38.41
On craft	31 326	100.00	99.33	0.67	19.82	22.79	57.39
Regular employees	28 162	100.00	99.50	0.50	17.29	23.48	59.23
Casual employees	3 164	100.00	97.79	2.21	42.35	16.66	40.99
On land	15 721	100.00	62.94	37.06	99.12	0.30	0.58
Regular employees	3 784	100.00	66.94	33.06	97.67	0.56	1.77
Casual employees	11 937	100.00	61.67	38.33	99.57	0.23	0.20

### V. Product Profile of Primary Agricultural and Fishery Products

1. The top five crops planting enterprises were for rice, green manure, betel nut, bamboo shoot and banana, among which the number of enterprises planting green manure and banana increased more in 5 years

In 2010, the number of crop planting enterprises in agriculture was 714,266 enterprises, and the top five crops were rice, green manure, betel nut, bamboo shoot and banana. Among which there were 214,960 green manure planting enterprises, increasing by 30,105 enterprises or 16.3% as the most in 5 years, and 29,234 banana planting enterprises, increasing by 9,054 enterprises or 44.9% as the second.

				2010		•	0			Compari yea	
	Planted enterprises (enterprise)	% of total enterprises (%)	Order	The distrib	ution of co (Top 3)	unty/city	% of enterprises of the same crop (%)	Planted enterprises in 2005 (enterprise)	Order	Increased/ decreased	Increased/ decreased rate of planted
Crops planting	714 266	100.00*		Tainan	Changhwa	Yunlin	33.62	720 013			-0.80
enterprises	/14 200	100.00		City	County County		720 013			-0.00	
Rice	242 448	33.94	1	Changhwa	Yunlin	Taichung	10 02	261 941	1	-19 493	-7.44
Ricc	242 440	55.74	1	County	County	City	49.92	201 941	1	-17 +95	-7.44
Green	214 960	30.10	2	Tainan	Chiayi	Yunlin	45 14	184 855	2	30 105	16.29
manure	214 900	50.10	2	City	County	County	43.14	10+ 055	2	50 105	10.27
Betel nut	43 307	6.06	3	Pingtung	Nantou	Chiayi	83 14	53 113	4	-9 806	-18.46
Deter nut	-5 507	0.00	5	County	County	County	05.44	55 115	-	-9 000	-10.40
Bamboo	39 170	5.48	Δ	New Taipei	Tainan	Chiayi	45 76	39 102	5	68	0.17
shoot	57170	5.40	т	City	City	County	-5.70	57 102	5	00	0.17
Banana	29 234	4.09	5	Nantou	Kaohsiung	Pingtung	48.23	20 180	20	9 054	44.87
Dununu	27 23 1	1.09	5	County	City	County	10.23	20 100	20	2 05 1	11.07
Peanut	29 047	4.07	6	Yunlin	Changhwa	Penghu	77.82	30 480	11	-1 433	-4.70
i cunut	29 0 17		0	County	County	County	77.02	20 100		1 100	
Cabbage	28 947	4.05	7	New Taipei	Taoyuan	Hsinchu	37.11	57 179	3	-28 232	-49.37
Cubbuge	20 7 17		,	City	County	County	57.11	57 175	5	20 252	19.07
Mango	27 807	3.89	8	Tainan	Kaohsiung	Pingtung	83.22	29 763	13	-1 956	-6.57
	_,,	0.07	Ũ	City	City	County	00122	_> , 60	10	1,00	0107
Citrus	27 654	3.87	9	Chiayi	Yunlin	Hsinchu	35.94	32 403	9	-4 749	-14.66
Crutus	_,	0.07	-	County	County	County		02.00	-	. , .,	1 1100
Longan	25 352	3.55	10	Tainan	Kaohsiung	Changhwa	1 56.50	29 889	12	-4 537	-15.18
Nete 1 + I				City	City	County		:11 h a mus			10.10

### Table 26 Number of Agriculture Crops Planting Enterprises, by Top 10 Species of Crop Planting

Note: 1. \* If 2 kinds of crops and over were planted, each planting enterprise will be numbered.

2. The number of crops planting enterprises refers to the total number of crops planting enterprises,

which was not equal to the total number of the 10 kinds of crops planting enterprises. 3. The distribution of county and city was according to the place where the manager located.

### 2. The number and the area of rise planting enterprises which both 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> periods were fallow decreased.

In 2010, the number of rice planting enterprises was 242,448 enterprises, less 19,493 enterprises or 7.4% than in 2005; there were 94,389 enterprises which both 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> periods were fallow and did not engage in production of agriculture, decreasing by 6,655 enterprises or 6.6%, and their fallow areas were 53,509 ha., decreasing by 4,676 ha. or 8.0%.

				Ur	it: Household	
				Comparison in 5 years		
		2010	2005	Increased/	Increased/	
		2010	2005	decreased	decreased	
				number	rate (%)	
Rice planting enterprises		336 837	362 985	-26 148	-7.20	
Rice planting	, Number	242 448	261 941	-19 493	-7.44	
Rice planting	<sup>1</sup> Area (ha.)	152 224	156 657	-4 433	-2.83	
Rice fallow throughout the year	<sub>1</sub> Number	94 389	101 044	-6 655	-6.59	
Kice failow throughout the year	<sup>1</sup> Fallow area (ha.)	53 509	58 185	-4 676	-8.04	

### Table 27 Status for Agriculture Rice Planting

....

Note : Rice planting enterprises refers to the enterprises which actually planted rice in the year, whether or not it mainly planted rice; rice fallow refers to both 1st and 2nd periods were fallow, and no other crops were planted except green manure, nor livestock and poultry were raised.

### **3.** The number of livestock raising enterprises for meat hog was the most; both the raising enterprises and scale decreased

In 2010, the number of livestock raising enterprises in agriculture amounted to 12,432 enterprises; the most number of raising enterprises were for meat hog, breeding hog, meat goat and beef cattle in order. Among which the number of meat hog raising enterprises was 7,633 enterprises, decreasing by 1,210 enterprises or 13.7% in 5 years, and each raising scale of enterprises decreased; for the raising quantity, there were average of 621 heads per enterprise in the end of year, decreasing by 20.5%.

		2010			2005		Comparison in 5 years		
	Number	% of total enterprises	Average livestock per enterprise at the end of year	Number	% of total enterprises	Average livestock per enterprise at the end of year	Increased/ decreased number	Increased/ decreased rate	
	(enterprise)	(%)	(head)	(enterprise)	(%)	(head)	(enterprise)	(%)	
Livestock raising enterprises	12 432	100.00*		15 841	100.00*		-3 409	-21.52	
Meat hog	7 633	61.40	621	8 843	55.82	781	-1 210	-13.68	
Breeding hog	3 956	31.82	159	3 981	25.13	219	-25	-0.63	
Meat goat	1 474	11.86	68	1 837	11.60	104	-363	-19.76	
Beef cattle	1 339	10.77	18	1 825	11.52	40	-486	-26.63	
Deer	537	4.32	32	543	3.43	111	-6	-1.10	
Dairy cattle	535	4.30	149	579	3.66	194	-44	-7.60	
Milk goat	323	2.60	157	392	2.47	224	-69	-17.60	
Boar	222	1.79	38	1 112	7.02	29	-890	-80.04	
Non-dairy cattle	200	1.61	78	336	2.12	112	-136	-40.48	
Rabbit	52	0.42	334	157	0.99	101	-105	-66.88	
Sheep	6	0.05	87						

Table 28Status for Main Livestock Raising of Agriculture

Note: 1.If an enterprise raised 2 kinds of livestock and over, then the enterprise was separately calculated into the number of livestock it raised. So the accumulated number of each livestock was greater than the number of livestock raising enterprises.

2. \* The number of livestock raising enterprises refers to the total number of livestock raising enterprises, which was not equal to the accumulated number of the 11 kinds of livestock raising enterprises.

3. The identified standards of husbandry object in this census: its raising quantities of livestock and poultry by the end of the year must meet the 1, 3, and 100 heads of big-sized, medium-sized and small-sized animals respectively, or its homemade farm products value was more than NT\$ 20,000. Comparing to the standard for objects in agricultural conditions survey that over one head of big- and medium-sized livestock and poultry, or total raising small-sized livestock and poultry more than 100 heads, in addition to the different definition of small and medium-sized animals, the livestock and poultry raising enterprises in this census also contain the enterprise which planted corps mainly, and concurrently raised livestock and poultry for self eating and using.

### 4. The number of poultry raising enterprises for broilers was the most, and their raising scale gradually increased

In 2010, the number of poultry raising enterprises in agriculture amounted to 22,560 enterprises, and the most number of raising enterprises were for broilers, mule & muscovy ducks, and layers in order, among which there were 18,960 broiler raising enterprises, decreasing by 31,439 enterprises or 62.4% in 5 years; the number of the raising enterprises whose raising scale was 10,000 heads and over increased, and the scale of the rest raising enterprises decreased; for the raising quantity, there were average of 4,150 heads per enterprise in the end of year, increasing by 2.2 times.

		2010			2005		Comparison	n in 5 years			
	Number	% of total enterprises	Average poultry per enterprise at the end of year	Number	% of total enterprises	Average poultry per enterprise at the end of year		Increased/ decreased rate			
	(enterprise)	(%)	(head)	(enterprise)	(%)	(head)	(enterprise)	(%)			
Poultry raising enterprises	22 560	100.00*		55 165	100.00*		-32 605	-59.10			
Broiler	18 960	84.04	4 150	50 399	91.36	1 283	-31 439	-62.38			
Mule & muscovy duck	4 285	18.99	1 867	10 854	19.68	749	-6 569	-60.52			
Layer	1 469	6.51	20 962	1 587	2.88	18 748	-118	-7.44			
Goose	1 424	6.31	1 428	2 743	4.97	969	-1 319	-48.09			
Tsaiya	384	. 1.70	3 320	515	0.93	5 366	-131	-25.24			
Turkey	264	. 1.17	895	481	0.87	721	-217	-45.11			
Quail	31	0.14	35 885	59			-28	-47.46			
Östrich	24	0.11	66	93	0.17	58	-69	-74.19			

 Table 29
 Status for Main Poultry Raising of Agriculture

Note : 1.If an enterprise raised 2 kinds of poultry and over, then the enterprise was separately calculated into the number of poultry it raised. So the accumulated number of each poultry was greater than the number of poultry raising enterprises.

2. \* The number of poultry raising enterprises refers to the total number of poultry raising enterprises, which was not equal to the accumulated number of the 8 kinds of poultry raising enterprises.

	End o	of 2010	End of	2005	Comparison in 5 years		
	Number Structure proportion		Number	Structure proportion	Increased/ decreased number	Increased/ decreased rate	
	(enterprise)	(%)	(enterprise)	(%)	(enterprise)	(%)	
Total	18 960	100.00	50 399	100.00	-31 439	-62.38	
Less than 50 heads	14 408	75.99	44 287	87.87	-29 879	-67.46	
$50 \sim 99$ heads	1 058	5.58	2 374	4.71	-1 316	-55.43	
100 ~ 999 heads	1 108	5.85	1 1 3 9	2.26	- 31	-2.72	
1,000~9,999 heads	614	3.24	885	1.76	- 271	-30.62	
10,000 ~ 49,999 heads	1 394	7.35	1 371	2.72	23	1.68	
50,000 heads and over	378	1.99	343	0.68	35	10.20	

 Table 30
 Overview of Broiler Raising Scale of Agriculture

# 5. The top five aquaculture enterprises were for milkfish, tilapia, hard clam, oysters, and whiteleg shrimp; the number of aquaculture enterprises for sea pearch and grouper increased more in 5 years

In 2010, the number of aquaculture enterprises amounted to 26,995 enterprises, and the main fish species were milkfish, tilapia, hard clam, oysters, and whiteleg shrimp. There were 1,210 aquaculture enterprises for sea pearch, increasing by 433 enterprises or 55.7% as the most in 5 years, and there were 1,855 aquaculture enterprises for grouper, increasing by 411 enterprises or 28.5% as the second.

		-		-	-	
	20	10	20	05	Comparison	n in 5 years
	Number Structure proportion		Number	Structure proportion	Increased/ decreased number	Increased/ decreased rate
	(enterprise)	(%)	(enterprise)	(%)	(enterprise)	(%)
Aquaculture enterprises	26 995	$100.00^{\circ}$	28 434	$100.00^*$	-1 439	-5.06
Milkfish	6 260	23.19	5 926	20.84	334	5.64
Tilapia	5 481	20.30	6 016	21.16	-535	-8.89
Hard clam	3 221	11.93	3 268	11.49	-47	-1.44
Oysters	2 647	9.81	3 116	10.96	-469	-15.05
Whiteleg shrimp	1 902	7.05	2 629	9.25	-727	-27.65
Grouper	1 855	6.87	1 444	5.08	411	28.46
Giant freshwater prawn	1 763	6.53	1 879	6.61	-116	-6.17
Sea perch	1 210	4.48	777	2.73	433	55.73
Eel	817	3.03	1 233	4.34	-416	-33.74

 Table 31
 Status for Fishery Aquaculture, by Main Fish Species

Note: 1.If an enterprise cultivated 2 kinds of fish species and over, then the enterprise was separately calculated

into the number of fish species it cultivated.
2. \* The number of aquaculture enterprises refers to the total number of aquaculture, which was not equal to the accumulated number of the 9 kinds of fish species enterprises.
3. Tilapia includes oreochromis niloticus, red tilapia, Taiwan bream, and other edible cichlidae fish.

### VI. Operation Development of Agriculture and Fishery

1. The number of enterprises with outsourcing operation during production stage of agriculture was up to 60%.

With the impact of reduced labor force and mechanization of agriculture, in 2010, the number of enterprises which engaged in agriculture and consigned to agricultural service enterprises during production stage amounted to 438,447 enterprises or 60.8%; main outsourcing items were plowing, harvesting, and seedlings-planting.

#### Status for Enterprises of Agriculture Which Consigned to Table 32 **Agricultural Service Enterprises During Production Stage** 2010

			2010			
	Enterprises engaging in agriculture Proportion of enterprises with outsourcing operation		Enterprises of crop planting	Proportion of enterprises with outsourcing operation	Enterprises of livestock and poultry raising	Proportion of enterprises with outsourcing operation
	(enterprise)	(%)	(enterprise)	(%)	(enterprise)	(%)
Total	721 446	60.77	714 266	61.08	34 104	9.31
Farm households	720 344	60.82	713 705	61.10	33 438	9.08
Farms	1 102	27.86	561	31.55	666	21.02
Public farms	237	31.65	199	36.18	71	5.63
Private farms	865	26.82	362	29.01	595	22.86

The Proportion of Outsourcing Operation of Agriculture Enterprises Table 33 **During Crop Production Stage** 

		2010								
	Seedlings	Plowing	Seedling- planting	Apply pesticides	Apply fertilizers	Harvesting	Drying	Others		
Agriculture	31.48	55.31	34.79	14.30	10.14	37.36	6.58	0.24		
Farm households	31.50	55.34	34.81	14.30	10.14	37.38	6.59	0.24		
Farms	13.37	17.11	13.73	16.22	11.05	18.18	3.03	2.14		
Public farms	11.56	15.08	20.10	27.14	18.09	25.63	2.01	5.53		
Private farms	14.36	18.23	10.22	10.22	7.18	14.09	3.59	0.28		

Note: If there were 2 kinds of outsourcing operations and over, then each was separately calculated into the items of outsourcing operations.

### 2. The area in which facilitated farming was used increased, and use of shelving, netting shelter was up to 90%

In 2010, the number of agriculture enterprises which used facilitated farming amounted to 48,485 enterprises, increasing by 16,920 enterprises or 53.6% in comparison with the end of 2005; facilitated farming area amounted to 25,090 ha., increasing by 5,662 ha. or 29.1% in 5 years; the use area of shelving was 12,412 ha. or accounted for 49.5% as the most, and the use area of netting shelter was 10,045 ha. or accounted for 40.0% as the second.

	Number (enterprise)	% of enterprises using facilitated farming (%)	Area (ha.)	Structure proportion (%)
2005	31 565		19 428	
2010	48 485	100.00*	25 090	100.00
Tunnel shed	3 453	7.12	1 521	6.06
Shelving	27 533	56.79	12 412	49.47
Netting shelter	14 662	30.24	10 045	40.03
Greenhouse	2 091	4.31	857	3.42
Mushroom shed	1 181	2.44	33	0.13
Others	526	1.08	222	0.89

Table 34	Number of A	griculture Ente	rprises Using	<b>Facilitated Farming</b>
Iubic C I	rumber or r	Si icultul c Ellic	prises comp	I achitatea I al hining

Note : 1.Use of facilitated farming refers to using facilitated farming to plant crops on cultivated land or non-cultivated land.

2.If an enterprise used more than 2 kinds of facilitated farming, then the enterprise was separately calculated into each facilitated farming, so that their accumulated number was larger than the number of enterprises using facilitated farming.

3.In 2010, the area of each facilitated farming excluded potted crops, edible mushroom etc. which were measured by kg, pot, and pack as unit.

### **3.** The area in which chemical fertilizers and pesticides were not applied increased, among which the fruits and vegetables areas were the most

In 2010, the cultivated land area of agriculture where chemical fertilizers and pesticides were not applied amounted to 45,438 ha., increasing by 1,521 ha. or 3.5% in 5 years. Among which there were 17,709 ha. for fruits and 14,481 ha. for vegetables, accounting for 12.2% and 17.7% of the planting areas of that kind of crop respectively, and both increased by 0.9 percentage points in 5 years.

### Table 35Status for Cultivated Land of Agriculture Enterprises Applying Chemical<br/>Fertilizers or Pesticides, by Located Region

			End of 2010			Unit: %
	Tota	ıl	Only apply	Only apply		Without
	Area	Percent	chemical		Both apply	applying
	(ha.)	rereent	Percent fertilizers pesticides			both
Total (ha.)	456 856		24 284	7 417	379 718	45 438
Total {Percent		100.00	5.31	1.62	83.12	9.95
Taiwan Area	455 231	100.00	5.31	1.63	83.11	9.95
Northern Region	48 512	100.00	9.93	2.20	74.46	13.41
Central Region	190 508	100.00	3.30	0.98	88.69	7.03
Southern Region	181 578	100.00	5.72	2.14	81.65	10.49
Eastern Region	34 633	100.00	7.79	1.69	72.14	18.38
Kinma Area	1 625	100.00	6.19	0.44	84.93	8.44

Note : Total area shown in this table refers to the cultivated land area used for farming of 5,305,039 hectares deducted by the area of 708,183 hectares for all planting green manure due to fallow.

#### Table 36 Status for Cultivated Land of Agriculture Enterprises Without Applying **Chemical Fertilizers or Pesticides**

				<b></b>	Comparisor	n in 5 years
	End of 2010	% of agriculture enterprises with cultivated land (%)	End of 2005	% of agriculture enterprises with cultivated land (%)	Increased/ decreased number	Increased/ decreased rate
						(%)
Number (enterprise)	79 641	11.13	67 610	9.32	12 031	17.79
Area (ha.)	45 438	8.21	43 917	7.57	1 521	3.46

Note: 1. The enterprises and areas shown in this table include the operators who were not organic certified ( there were 1,778 organic certified enterprises and 4,034 hectares of certified areas shown in 2010 Agricultural Statistics Report).
 2. In the end of 2005 and 2010, the total cultivated land areas of agriculture were 5,709,996 hectares and

5,503,333 hectares respectively.

#### Table 37 Status for Cultivated Land of Agriculture Enterprises Without Applying Chemical Fertilizers or Pesticides, by crops

	ſ			End of 2					End of Without a	applying
	-	Witho	out apply	ing cher	nical fertili	zer and pes		chemical fertilizer and pesticides		
	Cultivated land area	Area	Percent	Th	e distributi county/cit (Top 3)		% of planting area of the category	Cultivated land area	Area	Percent
	(ha.)	(ha.)	(%)				(%)	(ha.)	(ha.)	(%)
Rice	152 224	1 537	1.01	Hualien County	Taitung County	Pingtung County	48.22	156 657	1 453	0.93
Sundry	42 835	1 733	4.04	Taitung County	Pingtung County	Tainan City	44.64	25 863	2 024	7.83
Special crops	18 593	3 589	19.30	Nantou County	Taoyuan County	Pingtung County	32.75	22 714	2 942	12.95
Vegetables	81 975	14 481	17.67	Chiayi County	New Taipei City	Miaoli County	48.50	71 851	12 048	16.77
Fruits	145 012	17 709	12.21	Nantou County	Kaohsiung City	Chiayi County	47.94	167 808	19 064	11.36
Mushroom	35	17	48.57	Nantou County	Tainan City	Pingtung County	64.47	505	383	75.84
Sugarcane	13 535	84	0.62	Tainan City	Yunlin County	Chiayi County	71.94	15 156	84	0.55
Flowers	2 896	327	11.29	Pingtung County	Taoyuan County	New Taipei City	56.14	4 514	310	6.87
Other crops	12 041	7 074	58.75	Taoyuan County	Pingtung County	Tainan City	61.35	16 260	5 609	34.50

Note : <sup>¬</sup> Special crops <sub>J</sub> of this table excluded green manure.

4. For the enterprises having gross income of agriculture, the average gross income of agriculture per enterprise increased; among farm households, non-aged full-time farm households and part-time households with agriculture as main occupation had higher incomes

In 2010, for the enterprises having gross income of agriculture, the average gross income of agriculture per enterprise throughout the year (excluding salary, subsidy, and income from operating business other than agriculture) was NT\$361 thousand, increasing by NT\$71 thousand or 24.5% in comparison with 2005. Among which, apart from enterprise-operating farms having higher gross income of agriculture, the average gross income of agriculture per enterprise for non-aged full-time farm households and part-time households with agriculture as main occupation was NT\$895 thousand throughout the year, which were 2.5 times of the whole enterprises having gross income of agriculture.

### 5. Animal husbandry and far sea fishery were the industries with higher incomes

In 2010, among each main industry having gross income of agriculture, livestock, and poultry raising, non-production with food processing and recreation, and mushroom planting had higher gross income of agriculture, and their average gross income of agriculture per enterprise throughout the year were NT\$5,316 thousand, NT\$4,471 thousand, NT\$4,126 thousand, and NT\$3,225 thousand respectively; for the enterprises having gross income of fishery, far sea fishery, offshore fishery and inland brackish water aquaculture had higher gross income of fishery, and their average gross income of fishery per enterprise throughout the year were NT\$21,776 thousand, NT\$1,494 thousand, and NT\$1,322 thousand respectively.

				f 2010				
				With gross	income of	agriculture		
		Total	Number	Average cultivated land area per household	Average number of employees per household	Average gross income of agriculture throughout the year per household	Average age of managers	Number of households without gross income of agriculture
		(household)	(household)	(ha.)	(person)	(NT\$1,000)	(years old)	(household)
Total		720 344	550 798	0.79	2.35	321	61.77	169 546
Full-time farm households	(1)	176 755	135 772	0.97	2.15	505	65.50	40 983
Aged full-time farm households	(2)	89 323	60 626	0.79	1.67	202	74.52	28 697
Non-aged full-time farm households	(3)	87 432	75 146	1.11	2.53	749	58.22	12 286
Part-time farm households	(4)	543 589	415 026	0.74	2.42	261	60.54	128 563
Agriculture as main occupation	(5)	46 412	46 412	1.53	3.43	1131	60.59	
Non-griculture as main occupation	(6)	497 177	368 614	0.64	2.30	151	60.54	128 563
Non-aged full-time & part-time farm households with agriculture as main occupation (3)+(5)		133 844	121 558	1.27	2.87	895	59.12	12 286

 Table 38
 Operating Status for Farm Households Engaging in Agriculture

		20	10			20	05	
		With gross	income of	No. of		With gross	s income of	
		agric	ulture	enterprises		agric	ulture	No. of
			Ave. gross	without			Ave. gross	
	Total		income of	gross	Total		income of	without
	Total	Number	agriculture	income of		Number	agriculture	gross
			throughout	agriculture		rumoer	throughout	
			the year per				the year per	agriculture
			enterprise				enterprise	
							(NT\$1,000)	
Total	721 446	551 815	361	169 631	729 387	545 641	290	183 746
By type of organization								
farm households	720 344			169 546				
farms	1 102	1 017	21 867	85	760	706	15 854	54
By main industry								
Crops planting	706 516	537 172	238			530 761	205	
Rice planting	304 986	209 742	132	95 244	334 477	230 737	128	103 740
Sundry planting	31 858	28 200	150	3 658	34 986	27 758	135	7 228
Special crops planting	36 156	19 042	497	17 114	32 925	20 703	368	12 222
Vegetables planting	128 118	97 741	258	30 377	113 854	79 875	234	33 979
Fruits planting	188 562	168 795	275	19 767	180 656	157 096	252	23 560
Mushroom planting	1 123	1 118	3 225	5	977	973	1 624	4
Sugarcane planting	1 698	1 649	892	49	2 788	2 706	399	82
Flowers planting	6 029	5 589	1 343	440	6 601	6 161	817	440
Other crops planting	7 986	5 296	403	2 690	6 122	4 752	386	1 370
Livestock & Poultry Raising	14 709	14 428	4 883	281	15 887	14 766	3 334	1 121
Livestock raising	8 478	8 235	5 316	243	8 623	8 433	3 516	190
Poultry raising	5 957	5 920	4 471	37	7 031	6 104	3 148	927
Other raising	274	273	751	1	233	229	1 590	4
Non-production with								
food processing &	221	215	4 1 2 6	6	114	114	1 409	0
recreation								

# Table 39Gross Income of Agriculture for Enterprises Engaging in Agriculture,<br/>by Type of Organization And Main Industry

Note : 1. Gross income of agriculture (including agricultural products revenues, food processing revenues and recreation revenues) did not deduct cost expenditure; the following tables are in the same way.

2. The enterprises which engaged in agriculture without incomes refer to those who received fallow subsidy by government policies (accounting for 55.6%), or without agricultural products sale revenues due to natural disasters or diseases (accounting for 1.3%), or others such as self eating and using or new planting (accounting for 43.1%); the following tables are in the same way.

3. Main industry refers to the enterprises which had the most product values of agricultural products throughout the year or invested the most cost; the following tables are in the same way..

4. Rice planting enterprises include the enterprises which actually planted rice and coordinated with fallow by government policies; the following tables are in the same way.

5.Non-production with food processing & recreation: Refers to the enterprises which did not engage in agricultural production, but provided food processing services by own processing equipments of agricultural products or provided the public with recreational activities by agricultural production equipments and places; the following tables are in the same way.

6. The statistics scope of revenues of the census excluded production without sale, which was different from the production value inferred by agriculture production scale in agricultural statistics report.

		201	10		2005					
		With gross	income of				income of			
		fish	3	No. of		agric	ulture	No. of		
	Total	Number	Ave. gross income of fishery throughout the year per enterprise	without gross income	Total	Number	Ave. gross income of fishery throughout the year per enterprise	without gross income		
	(enterprise)	(enterprise)	(NT\$1,000)	(enterprise)	(enterprise)	(enterprise)		(enterprise)		
Total	43 194	40 955			45 390	43 142				
By type of organization										
Sole proprietorship fishery households	41 749	39 540	1 089	2 209	43 074	40 865	677	2 209		
Non-sole				•				•		
proprietorship fishery	1 445	1 415	17 179	30	2 316	2 277	10 219	39		
households										
By main industry										
Fishing fishery	16 213	15 267			16 948	16 134				
Far sea	1 176	1 171	21 776	5	1 0 3 0	1 022	19 684	8		
Offshore	5 518	5 330	1 494	188	6 320	6 1 2 4	1 380	196		
Coastal	9 209	8 460	286	749	9 315	8 709	228	606		
Inland	310	306	164	4	283	279	130	4		
Aquaculture	26 846	25 553	1 225	1 293	28 242	26 808	752	1 4 3 4		
Marine	2 7 3 9	2 650	752	89	3 146	3 062	495	84		
Inland brackish water	11 170	10 757	1 322	413	10 883	10 522	762	361		
Fresh water	12 937	12 146	1 243	791	14 213	13 224	803	989		
Non-production with										
food processing & recreation	135	135	993	_	200	200	963	_		

### Table 40Gross Income of Fishery for Enterprises Engaging in Fishery,<br/>by Type of Organization and Main Industry

Note : 1. Gross income of fishery (including fishery products revenues, food processing revenues and recreation revenues) did not deduct cost expenditure; the following tables are in the same way.

2. The enterprises which engaged in fishery without incomes refer to those who did not have agricultural products sale revenues due to natural disasters or diseases (accounting for 13.5%), or others such as receiving fishing moratorium subsidy, self eating and using or new breeding (accounting for 86.5%); the following tables are in the same way.

3. Main industry refers to the enterprises which had the most product values of agricultural products throughout the year or invested the most cost; the following tables are in the same way..

4. Non-production with food processing & recreation: Refers to the enterprises which did not engage in fishery production, but provided food processing services by own processing equipments of fishery products or provided the public with recreational activities by fishery production equipments and places; the following tables are in the same way.

### 6. The enterprises of agriculture and fishery adopting diversified operation had higher incomes

In 2010, the number of enterprises of agriculture and fishery adopting diversified operation (operating processing or recreation) and having gross income of agriculture and fishery amounted to 7,902 enterprises and 434 enterprises respectively; their average gross income of agriculture and fishery per enterprise throughout the year were NT\$1,807 thousand and NT\$2,417 thousand respectively, which were 5.3 times and 1.5 times the gross income of NT\$340 thousand and NT\$1,637 thousand for traditional operation.

		-	d operation	on And N		•	d operation	
			income of			1	s income of	r
		-	ulture Ave. gross	No. of enterprises		-	ulture Ave. gross	No. of enterprises
	Total	Number	income of agriculture throughout the year per enterprise	without gross income of agriculture	Total	Number	income of agriculture throughout the year per enterprise	without gross income of agriculture
	(enterprise)	(enterprise)	(NT\$1,000)	(enterprise)	(enterprise)	(enterprise)	(NT\$1,000)	(enterprise)
2005	722 307	538 561	278	183 746	7 080	7 080	1 182	
2010	713 490	543 913	340	169 577	7 956	7 902	1 807	54
By type of organization								
farm households	712 622	543 129	311	169 493	7 722	7 669	1 015	53
farms	868	784	20 091	84	234	233	27 844	. 1
Public farms	173	122	26 833	51	64	64	36 854	. —
Private farms	695	662	18 848	33	169	169	24 431	1
By main industry								
Crops planting	699 065	529 769	222	169 296	7 451	7 403	1 363	48
Rice planting	304 739	209 497	131	95 242	247	245	680	2
Sundry planting	31 802	28 144	147	3 658	56	56	1 415	_
Special crops planting	32 885	15 774	345	17 111	3 271	3 268	1 230	3
Vegetables planting	127 153	96 776	250	30 377	965	965	1 100	_
Fruits planting	186 349	166 584	271	19 765	2 213	2 211	616	2
Mushroom planting	768	763	3 148	5	355	355	3 391	_
Sugarcane planting	1 660	1 611	192	49	38	38	30 530	_
Flowers planting	5 817	5 417	1 245	400	212	172	4 4 4 3	40
Other crops planting	7 892	5 203	359	2 689	94	93	2 879	1
Livestock & Poultry Raising	14 425	14 144	4 748	281	284	284	11 623	_
Livestock raising	8 325	8 082	5 050	243	153	153	19 406	· —
Poultry raising	5 873	5 836	4 489	37	84	84	3 186	
Other raising	227	226	623	1	47	47	1 365	_
Non-production with food processing &	_	_		_	221	215	4 126	6
recreation								

Table 41Gross Income of Agriculture for Enterprises Engaging in Agriculture,<br/>by Type of Organization And Main Industry

	by Iy	pe of Org	ganizatio	n and M	ain Indu	stry		
		Traditiona	l operation			Diversified	d operation	
			ss income shery	No. of		With gros of agri	ss income culture	No. of
	Total	Number	Ave. gross income of fishery throughout the year per enterprise	enterprises without gross income of fishery	Total	Number	Ave. gross income of fishery throughout the year per enterprise	enterprises without gross income of
	(enterprise)	(enterprise)	(NT\$1,000)	(enterprise)	(enterprise)	(enterprise)	(NT\$1,000)	(enterprise)
2005	44 799	42 551	1 173	2 248	591	591	1 710	_
2010	42 760	40 521	1 637	2 2 3 9	434	434	2 417	_
By type of organization								
Sole proprietorship fishery households	41 350	39 141	1 084	2 209	399	399	1 640	_
Non-sole proprietorship fishery households	1 410	1 380	17 329	30	35	35	11 277	_
By main industry								
Fishing fishery	16 061	15 115	2 361	946	152	152	1 628	_
Far sea	1 173	1 168	21 786	5	3	3	17 873	_
Offshore	5 452	5 264	1 487	188	66	66	2 083	_
Coastal	9 133	8 384	283	749	76	76	643	—
Inland	303	299	142	4	7	7	1 085	_
Aquaculture	26 699	25 406	1 206	1 293	147	147	4 540	_
Marine	2 7 3 0	2 641	738	89	9	9	4 878	_
Inland brackish water	11 128	10 715	1 288	413	42	42	9 960	—
Fresh water	12 841	12 050	1 236	791	96	96	2 1 3 8	_
Non-production with food processing & recreation	_	_	_	_	135	135	993	_

### Table 42Gross Income of Fishery for Enterprises Engaging in Fishery,<br/>by Type of Organization and Main Industry